

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE KEYP120US 2710 Leonard A. Deni 10/21/2003 10/605,711 **EXAMINER** 24041 7590 08/26/2004 SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC DOUGLAS, STEVEN O 5555 MAIN STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406 3751

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/605,711	DENI, LEONARD A.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Steven O. Douglas	3751	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a real. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 October 2003</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control that of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyarerrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	· ·		Summary (DTO-412)	
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date <u>01262004</u> .	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/605,711

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Owen et al.

The Owen et al. reference discloses an apparatus for evacuating an inflatable article (i.e. bag) comprising a case 26, a flange (149,149') for actuating valve (S.V.), a fan 14 and a motor 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owen et al. in view of Yan.

The Owen et al. reference discloses a hand held evacuation apparatus (supra) which contemplates the use of a direct DC current source, but does not disclose a rechargeable battery with associated LED indicator. The Yan reference discloses a rechargeable battery and charger arrangement with associated LED indicators for use in a hand-held tool such as a drill thereby facilitating portability of the tool and aid the user in recharging the associated battery (see col. 6,

Application/Control Number: 10/605,711

Art Unit: 3751

lines 29-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Owen et al. device to accommodate a rechargeable battery with associated LED indicator in view of the teachings of the Yan reference by facilitating portability of the tool and aid the user in recharging the associated battery (see col. 6, lines 29-37).

Conclusion

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Chen and Chaffee references pertain to other receptacle evacuation device with associated fans.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,711

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD

8-25-04